**RESOLUTION #5 - RULE CHANGE TO JOHN PLUMMER GRANT**

Whereas the John Plummer Wholistic Grant, began to assist churches in outreach activities. These activities include job training, pregnancy prevention, drug abuse counseling, tutoring, housing, feeding and other activities designed to meet the needs in the community; and

Whereas the committee that evaluates these grants, does not have a consistent standard to evaluate these applications submitted. Transparency is only possible if all applications are reviewed in the exact same manner; now be it

### Resolved, the following EVALUATION AND RATING CRITERIA will be used to evaluate and rate applications for awards at the National Convention setting. The scores will be ranked and monies will be distributed accordingly.

See next page for evaluation.

**JOHN PLUMMER GRANT: EVALUATION AND RATING CRITERIA**

Church name: Convention Year:

The National Sunday School & HYM Congress of the Church of Christ (Holiness) U.S.A. will score proposals, in part, according to the general evaluation and rating criteria shown below. The Congress reserves the right to develop specific evaluation and rating criteria for each grant application to be used by evaluators reviewing grant applications. The Congress reserves the right to alter the amount of funding, available to or awarded to a grantee based on the grantee’s score. Additionally, the Congress reserves the right not to renew a grant based on a respondent’s proposal score.

In determining whether to renew or award a grant, the Congress may consider a number of relevant factors including but not limited to: the church’s proposal score, the cost of services as outlined in the church’s proposed budget detail and expenditure description, the community impact of the church’s proposal, the written detailed description of the church’s proposal, the intended youth and young adult involvement in the church’s proposed activity and the number of times the church has accomplished the proposed activity.

**Grant Review Score Sheet**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Required Information** | **Actual**  **Points Awarded** | **Maximum**  **Points Available** |
| **1. Description of activity (detailing the format of the event, the reasoning for the event, etc.)** |  | **20** |
| **2. Proposed budget/allocation of resource** |  | **10** |
| **3. New initiative?** |  | **40** |
| **4. Community Impact** |  | **20** |
| **5. Youth/Young Adult Involvement** |  | **20** |
| **TOTAL** |  | **110** |

Please use the following scoring rubric as a guide for awarding points for the **Narrative Questions (1, 4 & 5).**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **20 pts** | **Rating Criteria for Each Narrative Question** |
| 0 | The church’s materials are incomplete and/or vague. The answers do not show understanding of the purpose of the grant. It provides no detail of the community's impact. The weaknesses of the answer far outweigh its strengths. |
| 4 | The church’s answer barely meets minimum requirements. The answer demonstrates a poor understanding of the purpose of the grant. The answer provides insufficient detail on the community impact. The weaknesses of the answer outweigh its strengths. |
| 8 | The church’s answer is not comprehensive. It demonstrates a fair understanding of the purpose of the grant. The answer satisfies detail on the community impact. The weaknesses are equal to its strengths. |
| 12 | The church’s answer is adequate and demonstrates a good understanding of the purpose of the grant. The answer satisfies some of what the community impact will be, and demonstrates more strengths than weaknesses. |
| 16 | The church’s answer is comprehensive. It reflects a very good understanding of the purpose of the grant. The answer satisfies most programmatic requirements, and the strengths far outweigh any weaknesses. |
| 20 | The church’s answer is comprehensive. It reflects an excellent understanding of the purpose of the grant. The answer addresses all issues of the community impact, in the majority of instances, exceeds all requirements. No weaknesses are identified. |

Please use the following scoring rubric as a guide for awarding points for **Proposed Budget/Allocation of Resources (2).**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **10 pts** | **Rating Criteria** |
| 0 | The church’s budget and budget narrative description are incomplete; inappropriate use of funds; does not satisfy fiscal requirements. The weaknesses of the answer far outweigh its strengths. |
| 2 | The church’s budget and budget narrative are completed; however, insufficient information is provided on how funding will be allocated to meet grant requirements; little to no correlation between the budget and the community impact or description of activity; does not satisfy fiscal requirements. |
| 4 | The church’s budget and budget narrative are not comprehensive. It demonstrates a reasonable correlation between the budget and the community impact or description of activity; satisfies some fiscal requirements. |
| 6 | The church’s budget and budget narrative are complete. It demonstrates correlation between the budget and the community impact or description of activity; satisfies fiscal requirements. The answers demonstrates more strengths than weaknesses. |
| 8 | The church’s budget and budget narrative are complete. It demonstrates correlation between the budget and the community impact or description of activity; satisfies fiscal requirements. Budget narrative is comprehensive and reflects fiscal requirements and, in some areas, exceeds the requirements. The answers strengths far outweigh any weaknesses. |
| 10 | The church’s budget and budget narrative are complete. Extensive detail is provided in the budget expenditure description to demonstrate appropriate use of funds; demonstration of leveraging resources and maximizing allocation. The budget and the community impact or description of activity reflect a clear correlation between responses and specified grant allocations; appropriate fund use. No weaknesses are identified. |

Please use the following scoring rubric as a guide for awarding points for the **New Initiative (3).**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **40 pts** | **Rating Criteria for New Initiative Question** |
| 10 | The church has attempted the activity proposed by grant over 6 or more times |
| 10 | The church has attempted the activity proposed by grant at least once to 5 times \*\*\* |
| 40 | The church has NEVER attempted the activity proposed by grant |

\*\*\*